CSL Preliminary Analysis of Potential AIS Transfer Risk:



Cross-Referencing Ballast Water Activities and Known AIS in the Great Lakes Trade

Presentation to the Ballast Water Collaborative Duluth, Minnesota – July 21, 2010

Prepared by: Kirk Jones, CSL - Director, Government and Industry Affairs Caroline Denis, CSL - Environmental Coordinator Joe Lewis, CSL- Summer Intern

<u>Acknowledgements</u>

CSL would like to thank the following individuals for their assistance and the provision of the known AIS data:

Rochelle Sturtevant Regional SG Extension Educator <u>Rochelle.Sturtevant@noaa.gov</u>

David Copplestone Invasive Species Research Technician Ontario Federation of Anglers and Hunters

dcopplestone@ofah.org

Dr. Sarah Bailey Fisheries and Oceans Canada Great Lakes Laboratory for Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences sarah.bailey@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

Chris Wiley Aquatic Invasive Species Coordinator Fisheries and Oceans Canada/ Transport Canada Chris.wiley@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

Overview

- Introduction
- Project Goals
- Part 1: Vessel Transit Study
- Part 2: Cross-Reference Analysis
- Part 3: Preliminary AIS Risk Assessment Model

Introduction

- Canada Steamship Lines (CSL)
- CSL is an active stakeholder as part of efforts to address AIS risk posed by ballast water:
 - Member of CSA Ballast Water Working Group
 - Participant in the Ballast Water Collaborative
- This preliminary analysis contributes to broader efforts to explore measures for existing vessels
 - Improve understanding of risk posed by transfer
 - Identify appropriate risk management activities

Goals of the Analysis



- How much ballast water is potentially being moved between domestic ports?
 - Built upon methodology from Vessel Transit Study
- 2. Which AIS are potentially being transported between domestic ports?
 - Cross-reference known AIS to vessel transits
- 3. What is the potential risk level of the transportation of these AIS?
 - Assign risk level to transfer

Part 1: Vessel Transit Study

- CSL drew from objectives of the Vessel Transit Study*:
 - Compile database of ballast water movement between Great Lakes ports
 - 2. Light port (donor) to Load port (receiver)
 - 3. Determine potential top ports for the spread of AIS

Methodology:

- Vessel Transit Study:
- Primary data sources included INNAV and NBIC (CDN and US Coast Guard)
- Vessel Transits based on the year 2005

- CSL Preliminary Analysis:
- Vessels transits tracked for the year 2009.
- CSL primary data sources and ballast tonnages derived from the CSL Vessel Ballast Water Management Logs.

Part 1 Results



- Results of the ballast water inventory and trade route identification:
 - Rup et al study examined routes of 90 ships, including 7 CSL ships operating in inland waters (2005-2007)
 - CSL preliminary analysis includes current CSL fleet of 18 vessels operating in internal waters (2009)
 - These 18 CSL vessels visited 60 light ports (donor) and 50 load ports (receiver) on 130 individual trade routes totaling 555 transits in 2009
 - 13% of those transits were in ballast with approximately 8,553,302 MT of ballast water transferring from donor to receiver ports

CSL Ballast Receiver Ports (Load Ports)



(MT- Metric Tons of Ballast Water)

*Other includes 36 ports receiving less than 308,780 MT ballast water

CSL Ballast Donor Ports (Light Ports)

Ballast Donors



(MT- Metric Tons of Ballast Water)

*Other includes 20 ports with ballast water volumes less than 274,356 MT

Part 2: Cross-Reference Analysis

Objectives:

- Compile a database of known AIS in the Great Lakes and Great Lakes ports
- Cross-reference information from all ports known to have invasive species with CSL vessel transits and ballast water activity
- Incorporate probability of introduction / transport into the crossreferenced databases
 - Evaluate whether the invasive species is present at the receiver port
 - Evaluate the potential of an invasive species being transported through ballast water
 - Assign a percentage to the potential level of impact to the invasive species



Method: Cross-Reference Analysis

Methodology:

- The AIS data for the cross-reference was provided by NOAA and OFAH Databases.
- The different sources of AIS data was compiled into a database that assigned the presence of an AIS at each port for the region it is associated with.
- CSL sought input from NOAA* and OFAH* to assign a risk level to transfer by calculating the presence level at each port along with the likelihood of the AIS entering the ballast water
- The probability of introduction / transport in ballast water was determined by the product of the AIS locality by the assigned aggressiveness
 - Locality is based on the presence of the AIS at donor and / or receiver ports
 - Aggressiveness is based on whether it is more likely to be transported by ballast water or not.
- CSL is seeking further input on extending model to incorporate risk assessment (probability of establishment in the recipient port and magnitude of impact)

*Data on invasive species was provided by Rochelle Sturtevant (NOAA) and David Copplestone (OFAH)

Input to Cross-Reference Database

1 indicates it is present at that port.

Renibacterium (Corynebacterium) salmoninarum

Species

0 indicates it is not there.

Potamothrix bedoti

Ripistes parasita

Acentropus niveus

Tanysphyrus lemnae

Lophopodella carteri

Cordylophora caspia

Gammarus tigrinus

Cercopagis pengoi Daphnia galeata galeata

5 Daphnia lumholtzi

Craspedacusta sowerbyi Echinogammarus ischnus

Bythotrephes longimanus

Aeromonas salmonicida

Potamothrix moldaviensis Potamothrix vejdovskyi

Light (Donor) Port

- Red = AIS are not present
- Green = AIS are present

| Load (| (Receiver) | Port |
|--------|------------|------|
| Load (| | |

- Red = AIS are present
- Green =AIS are not present

| ÷, | - | | | 0 | - | | 5 | | | | IN IN | - |
|----|---|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|
| 1 | 0 indicates it is present at that port. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 indicates it is not there. | Load | HSP | AST | TBY | DUL | SIL | SUP | LCO | PCA | CHI | SAN |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Species | Species # | | | | | | | | | | |
|) | Alosa pseudoharengus | 55 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ð | Apeltes quadracus | 56 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| l | Carassius auratus | 57 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | Cyprinus carpio | 58 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | Enneacanthus gloriosus | 59 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ļ | Esox niger | 60 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | Gambusia affinis | 61 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | Gymnocephalus cernuus | 62 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | Lepisosteus platostomus | 63 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | Lepomis humilis | 64 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|) | Lepomis microlophus | 65 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|) | Morone americana | 66 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | C | 0 | 1 |
| L | Neogobius [=Apollonia] melanostoma | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | C | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Notropis buchanani | 68 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | Oncorhynchus gorbuscha | 69 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ŀ | Oncorhynchus kisutch | 70 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | C |
| ; | Oncorhynchus mykiss | 71 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 1 | 1 | C |
| 5 | Oncorhynchus nerka | 72 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha | 73 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | C |
| 3 | Osmerus mordax | 74 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | C | 1 | 0 |
|) | Petromyzon marinus | 75 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|) | Phenacobius mirabilis | 76 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| L | Proterorhinus semilunaris | 77 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | - | | | |

SOR

iaht

Species #

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

HAM

AST

BCO

COU

TOL

WIN

PCA

Cross-Referenced Database

Below is the assignment of the potential risk level per voyage

| Risk Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|
| | Voyage | SORHSP | HAMAST | ASTTBY | HAMDUL | BCOSIL | BLDSIL | COUDUL | TOLSUP | WINDUL | BCOHSP | PCASIL | QUESIL | COUTBY | QUESUP | CHTLCO | CSCPCA | S |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Species | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cordylophora caspia | 34 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | |
| Craspedacusta sowerbyi | 35 | 0% | 0% | 50% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | |
| Echinogammarus ischnus | 36 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | |
| Gammarus tigrinus | 37 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | |
| Bythotrephes longimanus | 38 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | |
| Cercopagis pengoi | 39 | 0% | 100% | 0% | 100% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 100% | | 0% | |
| Daphnia galeata galeata | 40 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | |
| Daphnia lumholtzi | 41 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | |
| Eubosmina coregoni | 42 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | |
| Eubosmina maritima | 43 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | |
| Cyclops strenuus | 44 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | |
| Eurytemora affinis | 45 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | |
| Heteropsyllus nr. nunni | 46 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | |
| Megacyclops viridis | 47 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | |
| Neoergasilus japonicus | 48 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 1 |

Presence At Light Port x Presence At Load Port x Aggressiveness = Potential Risk Level

| | 181 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
|----|--------------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| s) | 182 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | 183 | 0% | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | | 0% | 3% | 5% | 2% | 0% |
| | | - | 6 | 9 | 3 | - |
| | Ballast | 50580 | 231934 | 223342 | 26824 | 66000 |
| | Ballast Load | 64 | 33 | 19 | 18 | 15 |
| | | | | | | |

Part 3: AIS Risk Assessment Model

Objectives:

- Cross-referencing the vessel ballast water activity to the known AIS in donor / receiver ports to the AIS characteristics has the potential to yield a model to understand the potential risk of transfer
- The model could be broken down into: per voyage, per load port, per light port, per species and per vessel, below is a screen shot of the results for a trade route:

| Light Port | TOL 🎜 | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|----------------|-------------------------|---|------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Load Port | WIN 🖓 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Values | | | | | | | | Characteristics |
| Number of Trips | Days/Voyage | Total Days | Species Number | Combined Species Number | Species | Risk Level | Total Risk Level | Group |
| 5 | 0.1800 | 0.90 | L | | | - | 13.5 | |
| | | | 14 | | Skeletonema potamos | 100% | | Algae |
| | | | 35 | | Craspedacusta sowerbyi | 50% | (| Coelenterates-Hydrozoans |
| | | | 55 | | Alosa pseudoharengus | 100% | | Fishes |
| | | | 58 | | Cyprinus carpio | 100% | | Fishes |
| | | | 61 | | Gambusia affinis | 100% | | Fishes |
| | | | 64 | | Lepomis humilis | 100% | | Fishes |
| | | | 73 | | Oncorhynchus tshawytscha | 100% | | Fishes |
| | | | 75 | | Petromyzon marinus | 100% | | Fishes |
| | | | 80 | | Corbicula fluminea | 100% | | Mollusks-Bivalves |
| | | | 81 | | Dreissena polymorpha | 100% | | Mollusks-Bivalves |
| | | | 82 | | Dreissena rostriformis bugensis | 100% | | Mollusks-Bivalves |
| | | | 88 | | Bithynia tentaculata | 100% | | Mollusks-Gastropods |
| | | | 93 | | Radix auricularia | 100% | | Mollusks-Gastropods |
| | | | 182 | | Threespine Stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) | 100% | | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Preliminary Results

- Below are the top 3 AIS identified by the cross-referencing analysis that are potentially transferred between ports in ballast water.
 - These AIS have been present in the light (donor) port since 1998, 1931, and 2006 respectively
 - These trade routes have been in place for at least > 2 decades
- Of note, these species can potentially be blocked through filtration from the ballast water due to the size of the species.
- *The species below are the top three species identified by this analysis with the potential to be transported

| Species Name | Latin Name: | Size: | # combination of voyages for this species to move: |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------|--|
| Fishhook Waterflea | Cercopagis pengoi | 6-13 mm | 35 |
| Rudd | Scardinius erythrophthalmus | 48 cm | 33 |
| Bloody Red Shrimp | Hemimysis anomala | 6-13 mm | 24 |

Preliminary Results

Trade Routes that de-ballast in Lake Superior Ports:

- Preliminary results identify that CSL vessel transits have the potential to move 6 (3% of all known invasive species) species for the Hamilton to Superior trade route. In 2009, 230,152 MT of ballast water was transported on this trade route. This trade has been in place for decades.
- The model demonstrates that CSL has transferred large volumes of ballast water into Lake Superior ports over the long term without evidence of AIS transfers from load ports.
- Reasoning: Does this model provide supporting evidence for Lake Superior being a "cold spot"?
- Other Voyages Into Lake Superior:

| Light: | Load: | # of Voyages: | # of potential species moved: | Tonnage (MT): |
|-----------|-------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Ashtabula | Thunder Bay | 19 | 9 | 223,342 |
| Toledo | Superior | 12 | 9 | 136,831 |

Next Steps for Consideration

- Further consideration and improvement of model:
 - Validation of the preliminary results including method for calculating probability of introduction
 - Consideration of incorporating vessels on other trade routes within the Great Lakes system
 - Development and incorporation of other risk assessment factors
- Consideration of utilizing this analysis to further develop the understanding of risk of transfer and appropriate risk mitigation efforts
- Potential to contribute to developing a Made in the Great Lakes solution to addressing AIS transfer risk for existing vessels?
 - Incorporate a finalized AIS cross-referenced model with expanded Best Management Practices
 - Utilize to design filtering or strainer systems developed for Lakers or Coast trading vessels, in addition to, or in combination with other efforts